

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/609,081 06/27/2003 PDHO014 7449 Paul Fry EXAMINER 21322 7590 12/29/2004 MARK A OATHOUT DOUGLAS, STEVEN O 3701 KIRBY DRIVE, SUITE 960 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT HOUSTON, TX 77098 3751

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,081	FRY, PAUL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven O. Douglas	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u>			
·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.			
·	x parte Quayre, 1000 O.D	. 11, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.	form consideration		
<ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are withdrawn</li><li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>	from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18,20 and 22</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 21</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<del>.</del>		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	•	• •	).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		nuliantan Na	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li></ul>		· ·	
application from the International Bureau	-	Toolived III tille (Valional Clage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.	
Attention and (a)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10282003.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) 🔲 Other: \_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 16-22, drawn to an apparatus for filling reactor tubes, classified in class 141, subclass 18.
- II. Claims 1-15, drawn to a method for filling reactor tubes, classified in class 141, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II. and I. are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process not requiring the dispensing of catalyst into reactor tubes at different rate (see claim 1, lines 4 and 5) or automatically measuring catalyst by weight.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Mark Oathout on 12-20-04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I., claims 16-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims Application/Control Number: 10/609,081

Art Unit: 3751

ţ

Page 3

1-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Engert'349 et al.

The Engert et al. reference discloses a catalyst filler comprising plural hoppers (1,2), plural moving devices (see unlabeled hoppers where hoppers 1,2 are mounted into), plural catalyst dispensers (6,7), plural catalyst dispensers (4,5) with associated unlabeled scales and a programmable computer (Pr).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18,20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engert et al. (supra) in view of Comardo'157.

Art Unit: 3751

The Engert et al. reference discloses a catalyst filler (supra), but does not disclose use of a gate or door associated with the catalyst dispensers or moving devices. The Comardo reference discloses another catalyst filler having a computer controlled gate or door 406 associated with a catalyst dispenser to control the discharge of catalyst from the dispenser which is widely know in the dispenser art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Engert et al. device to incorporate a gate or door in view of the teachings of the Comardo reference to control the discharge of catalyst from the associated dispensers.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Magin et al. and Lytton et al. references pertain to other catalyst fillers with associated dispensers and movers.

Claims 19 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,081

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9497 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Page 5

SD 12-22-04